IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 542 OF 2006

Jaibunissa Khatri ... Petitioner

Versus

Slum Rehabilitation Authority and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Shashi Pandey for Petitioner.

Mr. G.D. Utangale for R. No. 1.

Mr. P. Jadhav, A.G.P. for State.

Ms. T. Puranik for R. No. 5.

Mr. H.S. Anand for R. Nos. 6 and 7.

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.
DATED : MARCH 20, 2006

P.C.

. Rule. By consent heard forthwith.

. It is the case of the Petitioners that they had applied to S.R.A. for including their name in Annexure II of LOI. Though they have made applications, according to them till date, the same has not been disposed of. Considering the

controversy, the petition can be disposed of by issuing the following directions :

(1) If the application of the Petitioner is not yet disposed of by the S.R.A., the same be disposed of within the period of four weeks from today.

(2) If the application is already disposed of, the same to be communicated to the Petitioners within the same time.

(3) If the Petitioner is aggrieved, it will be open to the Petitioner to take such steps according to Law against the said order.

. Rule made absolute accordingly. No order as to costs.

(F.I. REBELLO,J.)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA,J.)